

The German BACKUP Health Initiative:

Learnings from 20 years of working for – and between – the Global Fund and recipient countries

A publication in the German Health Practice Collection

Since 2002, the BACKUP Health initiative has been a key intermediary between the Global Fund and recipient countries. On BACKUP's 20th anniversary, this review of experiences and learnings presents several insights into where and how providers of technical assistance and the Global Fund may wish to focus their efforts to achieve greater equity in health outcomes and health governance.

- Agility, flexibility and recognising windows of opportunity are essential for impactful technical assistance.** BACKUP Health's support to its partners has been most successful where the initiative was able to spot openings in political space or administrative process and was quick to deploy the right mix of subject matter expertise and knowledge of the local context. BACKUP has thus at times fulfilled the role of 'honest broker' between the Global Fund and recipient countries, for example, in their advocacy for stronger support to Country Coordinating Mechanisms (CCMs) – which ultimately contributed to setting up the Fund's dedicated **CCM Evolution** project in 2018.
- Several of the Global Fund's core principles, such as civil society participation and accountability, still depend on external support by partners like BACKUP Health to be fully operationalised.** This dependency will persist so long as the Global Fund does not internalise the necessary investments in technical support and capacity development for country partners. BACKUP has demonstrated that sustainable, inclusive solutions are possible. Its work with Supreme Audit Institutions in Africa has shown that the Fund's accountability processes can be fully localised, signifying a strong move towards sustainability and alignment.
- Despite the Global Fund's stated ambition to align itself with recipient country systems and priorities, concrete opportunities such as investing in a country's pooled fund have been left unexploited. BACKUP Health has shown** that it can deliver crucial preparatory work and prepare the way technically, though it cannot precipitate the requisite political will on the part of the Fund.
- The Global Fund and BACKUP Health were created to tackle inequities in global health outcomes. Today, twenty years later, they must tackle inequities in global health governance.** Through more equitable distribution, the Fund's resources have enabled remarkable successes in the fight against HIV, tuberculosis and malaria, particularly in the world's poorer countries. But the Global Fund's modus operandi has fallen short of the ambition expressed in its 'partnership principle', with CCMs maintained as structures parallel to countries' existing health governance systems, with complex administrative procedures that are not harmonised with those of other Global Health Initiatives, and with the additional transaction costs that this entails. BACKUP Health can help by feeding its implementation experiences – via Germany's representation on international boards – into the much-needed political discussion on the Global Fund and global health governance.



BACKUP Health worked with Aidspan, a Kenya-based Global Fund watchdog organisation, to strengthen the role of national Supreme Audit Institutions in overseeing Global Fund grants, an important step towards country-owned and -led accountability mechanisms for global health financing. This photo shows a roundtable with Auditor-Generals (seated in front row) from seven national Supreme Audit Institutions in an Aidspan workshop on auditing Global Fund grants (Accra, December 2019).

The German Health Practice Collection (GHPC) is built around a series of case studies which identify and document insights generated during the implementation of German-supported health and social protection programmes. Since 2017, it also includes evidence briefs which synthesise current knowledge about specific questions of relevance to German Development Cooperation in the areas of health and social protection.

For more information, contact the Managing Editor at editor@healthy-developments.de

To download the full report go to <https://health.bmz.de/studies/>



The challenge: Tackling inequities in health outcomes, global health funding raises issues of health governance

The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (or simply Global Fund) was created in 2002 in response to what Kofi Annan called a ‘worldwide revolt of public opinion’. In the context of a growing global social justice movement, people demanded that governments deliver on health equity in the face of the raging epidemics of AIDS, TB and malaria that were killing an estimated 6 million people each year. The brunt of the suffering was borne by those who were too poor or too marginalised to access the effective treatment and care that were already available in affluent countries.

The Global Fund has become the world’s largest financier of prevention, treatment, and care for the three diseases and has begun to invest more broadly in overall health systems strengthening. Germany has been one of the largest contributors to the Global Fund from the start, with a pledge of €1 billion for the latest 2020–2022 funding round and €1.26 billion expected for the next phase.

Through its immense grant resources – around \$4 billion annually – the Global Fund has become one of the most powerful actors in global health, alongside other Global Health Initiatives (GHIs). GHIs wield significant influence over health sector policies and programmes in many low- and lower middle-income countries.



Mother and child visit the Makorora Centre for HIV training in rural Tanzania, 2011. (© GIZ/Dirk Ostermeier)

In theory, the partnership principle espoused by the Global Fund aspires to ensure equity, not only in health outcomes but in health governance: i.e. that all those involved in the response to the three diseases have a voice in the Fund’s decision-making processes – including governments, civil society, communities affected by the diseases and technical partners. The Global Fund has also vowed to align itself with countries’ systems and priorities so that, at the least, accessing grant funding does not come at the expense of countries’ ownership over health policies and programmes and does not cause inordinate transaction costs.

In practice, the Fund’s insistence on parallel coordination mechanisms at country level in the form of CCMs, its complex administrative procedures and the power dynamics of grant



Community-based monitoring in action in rural Zambia, 2019.



The BACKUP Health team in 2022 brings together staff of 22 different nationalities.

governance, which determine who gets to sit at the decision-making table, run counter to its partnership principle. The Global Fund's own reviews have diagnosed its limited alignment with national priorities, high transaction costs for recipient countries and shortcomings in adequately and consistently engaging and representing civil society and key populations in the pivotal CCMs. Unsurprisingly, recipient countries have often found it difficult to navigate the Global Fund's complex processes and requirements to access and implement grant funding. The demand for technical assistance (TA) has been immense from the start.

The response: Technical assistance to access funding and strengthen capacity, participation, accountability and alignment

To meet this need, in 2002, *Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit* (GIZ) GmbH set up the BACKUP Health initiative. With a funding volume of €30 million for three years, it constituted a major commitment for the organisation. The overarching objective was to help countries access and use Global Fund resources effectively in the fight against the three diseases. In 2005, recognising the early successes and added value of the project, the Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ) decided to invest in BACKUP Health. Over time, BACKUP has increasingly focused on helping its partners operationalise meaningful civil society participation, localise and strengthen the ownership of the Global Fund's accountability mechanisms, and work towards greater alignment of the Fund with national priorities and systems.

Over the past 20 years, BACKUP Health has financed over 800 TA measures in around 90 countries. It has become an integral part of the Global Fund's support ecosystem, also thanks to the significant contributions of its donor partners. Since 2013, BACKUP has been co-financed by the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC). In 2020, both Expertise France and the UK Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO) signed a co-financing agreement.

The results: What has been achieved?

The mainstay business of BACKUP Health has been to use its relatively limited project resources to help recipient countries access and implement disproportionately larger Global Fund grants. Its support to countries in making funding requests to the Global Fund embedded in the national dialogue, generally with the assistance of experienced consultants hired for this task, has led to hundreds of successful grant applications over the past 20 years, ranging from funding for health systems strengthening in Angola, to combined HIV/TB programming in Uzbekistan, to accessing the COVID-19 response mechanism in Sierra Leone, to name but a few. A recent Global Fund audit report on capacity building and technical assistance recognises BACKUP's approach as good practice, emphasising its fully transparent communication with the Global Fund, from the initiation of in-country technical assistance to assessing impact and reporting results.

Published by Deutsche Gesellschaft für
Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH

Registered offices Bonn and Eschborn, Germany

Sector Programme Global Health

Friedrich-Ebert-Allee 36
53113 Bonn, Germany
Phone +49 228 44 60 – 0

As at September 2022

Design Karsten van der Oord

Photo credits Aidspan
Dirk Ostermeier
Huzeifa Bodal
BACKUP Health

Text Clemens Gros

GIZ is responsible for the content of this publication.

On behalf of Federal Ministry for Economic
Cooperation and Development (BMZ)